

□ 1030

If U.N. inspectors had been given a few more months in Iraq, we would have known that Iraq was no serious threat. Now, the best case scenario we can achieve in Iraq is, and I quote, "tenuous stability;" the worst case, civil war.

President Bush gave two speeches on the campaign trail yesterday. Neither mentioned the report. When is this administration going to admit to grievous errors of misjudgment?

CELEBRATING THE LIFE OF DR. JAMES RICHARD RUTLEDGE

(Mr. ADERHOLT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ADERHOLT. Mr. Speaker, it is with sadness that I rise this morning to remember the life of Dr. James Rutledge, who recently passed away at the age of 65. I am honored to stand before this body of Congress and this Nation to recognize some of his many accomplishments.

He was born in Ironton, Ohio. He was educated in Kentucky. He joined the air force after receiving his degree in medicine. He served his country honorably, and for his service in Thailand during the Vietnam War, he attained the National Defense Service Medal, the Vietnam Service Medal and the Republic of Vietnam Campaign Medal.

Jim and his wife Rhonda moved their family to Jasper, Alabama, which is located in the Fourth Congressional District in February of 1980, and he served as the medical director of laboratory medicine at Walker Baptist Medical Center.

Dr. Jim Rutledge was a man who loved his God, his wife Rhonda, his family and his country. He was a true American hero to so many during his life. He was a man many depended upon, a man of little fanfare but deep wisdom and compassion.

Our prayers continue to go out to Jim's family, friends and community at this difficult time.

Mr. Speaker, I could go on much longer about this man but time does not permit. However, I will put an extended tribute to Dr. Rutledge in the RECORD.

ADMINISTRATION MUST ADMIT MISTAKES

(Mr. EMANUEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, Saturday, October 10, will mark the 2-year anniversary of the House of Representatives passing the war resolution. Let me give my colleagues some of the highlights in the last 48 hours and news.

Iraqis eliminated illicit arms in the 1990s. Paul Bremer criticizes troop levels: "We never had enough troops on

the ground." France was ready to send troops to Iraq, 15,000, but did not because of the relationship with President Bush.

White House embraced disputed arms intelligence. The White House claimed Iraq was buying aluminum tubes to facilitate its nuclear capability, even though their own experts told them otherwise.

Funds to rebuild Iraq are drifting away from their target. Only 20 cents on the dollar are going to rebuilding Iraq.

Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld said there was no relationship between Iraq and al Qaeda.

Mr. Speaker, the house of cards that this administration built for the case for going to war and how to prosecute this war is collapsing. In going to war, this administration allowed etiology to trump reality. Iraq was not an imminent threat, but with the costs and casualties mounting, candor would be a welcome addition to this White House. You cannot fix a problem if you do not acknowledge that you have one.

TIMES WHEN WAR IS THE ONLY OPTION

(Mr. HAYES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in thanks and tribute to our wonderful troops and a volunteer Army in Iraq who are making the world safer, and I quote my friend Gary Bauer about Senator KERRY: Moreover, wind surfing is not a policy; it is an excuse or inability to act. He sounds like Neville Chamberlain clinging to a scrap of paper after accepting the lies of a lunatic and declaring peace in our time, the terrorists had been there all along.

But Winston Churchill understood there are times when war is the only option. One more U.N. resolution, one more scrap of paper from Saddam Hussein would not have made America safer. Our President understood that and he stood up for America.

SANCTIONING IRAN

(Mr. SHERMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, during the presidential debate, both candidates agreed that nuclear weapons in the wrong hands were the greatest threat to America. President Bush described his policy by saying we have sanctioned Iran; we cannot sanction them anymore. What an incredible falsehood.

This Congress last decade passed the Iran-Libya Sanctions Act, which prevents any oil company investing in Iranian oil infrastructure from doing business in the United States. Yet the President has refused to apply this law. He gave a wink, a nod and a consent to

a consortium of Japanese oil companies, revealed in the financial press, which allowed them to go forward with \$2 billion of investments in Iranian oil fields.

The State Department wrote to me and said that we will continue to import nonenergy items from Iran. We will continue to do business with Iran because we want them to do business with us.

Who is Iran doing business with? Halliburton, doing business with Iran through its foreign subsidiaries.

So when the President says we have already sanctioned Iran, we cannot sanction them anymore, what he really means is, we are going to continue to do business with Iran and we want them to do business with Halliburton.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the motion to instruct on the conference on H.R. 4567, and that I may include tabular material on the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HAYES). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 4567, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4567) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR. SABO

Mr. SABO. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. SABO moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill, H.R. 4567, be instructed to insist on inclusion of the highest possible level of funding for each homeland security, first responder, domestic preparedness, emergency management performance grant, fire grant, flood map, and disaster mitigation program within Titles II and III.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under rule XX, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. SABO) and the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. SABO).